



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,917	•	02/08/2001	Clay H. Fisher	50N3695.01/1582 9084 EXAMINER		
24272	7590	03/31/2006				
Gregory J.	Koerner		VIEAUX, GARY			
Redwood P 1291 East H			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Suite 205			2622			
Foster City,	Foster City, CA 94404				DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)
FISHER ET AL.
Art Unit
2622

	Gary C. Vieaux	2622						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of	•							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE Follows.	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ensurement of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS	had a strong and the strong are strong as the	F . 20 . A						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	llowable if submitted in a separate		_					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-42</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	14.					
	. PRIM	TUAN HO MARY EXAMINER						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment limitations, particularly those creating inclusive limitations or limitations in the alternative, raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration regarding those claims and all claims dependent therefrom.

As an additional note to the Applicant: Beginning in February 2006, Technology Center 2600, to which Art Unit 2612 belongs, began operating under a new structure in which the original 55 art units were consolidated into 17 art units. The applications and classifications covered by Art Unit 2612 are now the responsibility of Art Unit 2622. This change currently applies to the instant application, and therefore, all future correspondence should be directed to Art Unit 2622. The Examiner of record has not changed.